## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

ES 2008 JUL 14 PM 3: 43

		Lund 332
		CLERK US DISTRICT OF CALLED File Stamp
17), —		
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
	M: K. Hammerly,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED BATE: 7/10/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv713 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Appellant Lopez		
CASE TITLE: Lopez v. Stanly		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Appellant's Opening Brief		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
	ocal Rule	Discrepancy
<b>5</b>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<b>5</b>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<u></u> 5	.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 7	.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7	.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 7	.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7	<b>'.1</b>	Missing table of contents
	5.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
$\overline{\Box}$ 3	0.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
$\bar{\Box}$		Supplemental documents require court order
ō		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
$\bar{X}$		OTHER: Appellant brief due by 7/7/2008 as per [3] Order
Λ	· .	
		<b>Date forwarded:</b> 7/10/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
IT <sub>A</sub> IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
<b>X</b>	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk scopy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected document	to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan	
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF: HOUSTON
	Dated: 7 1110 cc: All Parties	By: